EIRA Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development (EIRAJMRD)
Journal homepage: https://eirapublisher.com/eirajmrd/
Email: office.eirapublisher@gmail.com
ISSN: 3107-9350 (Online)
Volume 2, Issue 3, (May-June) 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21074463

The Future of Influencer Marketing: From Human my Influencers to AI-Generated Brand Ambassadors

Evelyn Irekpitan Nwosu, Ph.D

Zonal Director NTA2 Lagos Network Centre, Television House

Article history:
Received: 23/04/2026
Accepted: 03/06/2026
Published: 30/06/2026

Keywords: *Influencer Marketing, Human, AI-Generated Brand Ambassadors*

***Corresponding Author**:
Evelyn Irekpitan Nwosu, Ph.D

Abstract **Original Research Article**

The $24 billion influencer marketing sector faces a significant authenticity paradox: consumers increasingly distrust the real personalities brands use. This article examines the technological, psychological, and regulatory shift from human influencers to AI-generated brand ambassadors in seven sections. It claims that the future is a strategic, layered progression that requires careful supervision and connection. Human influencers have reputational concerns and scalability issues but provide invaluable emotional depth and parasocial connection. AI ambassadors offer unmatched control, 24/7 availability, and cost effectiveness, but the authenticity deficit and uncanny valley make it hard to build consumer loyalty. Due to their ability to combine computer power with human ingenuity, hybrid co-creation models like digital twins and collaborative workflows are the most promising. The paper critically navigates the impending regulatory quagmire, concentrating on the FTC's updated endorsement guides and the EU AI Act's radical transparency to prevent misleading deepfake labelling rules. It expects "Ambient Influencers," who incorporate AI recommendations into smart settings, in five years. The main conclusion is that algorithmic perfection will make genuine human weakness the luxury asset. Brands that maintain authentic, mindful involvement, ethical safeguards, rigorous compliance, and purposeful human-AI partnership will establish trust. Knowing when to delegate to computers and when to value the human spirit will determine marketing success.

How to cite this article: Evelyn Irekpitan Nwosu, Ph.D. (2026). The Future of Influencer Marketing: From Human Influencers to AI-Generated Brand Ambassadors. *EIRA Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development (EIRAJMRD), 2(3)*. 90-99.

Introduction

The influencer marketing sector has undergone significant expansion in the last ten years, with a global market valuation of around US$24 billion in 2024, anticipated to rise to US$32.55 billion by 2025 (Merlo & Eisingerich, 2026; Sociallyin, 2025). This remarkable growth illustrates the degree to which brands depend on digital personas to impact consumer perceptions, enhance brand visibility, and directly affect purchasing choices across nearly all product categories (Merlo & Eisingerich, 2026). As the business has expanded, a significant paradox has arisen: the processes that rendered influencer marketing effective perceived authenticity and personal connection are now deteriorating due to commercialisation and consumer cynicism.

Consumer confidence in influencer marketing is experiencing a considerable problem. Studies reveal that more than one-third of customers feel that influencers misrepresent themselves and the items they endorse, while almost half view the majority of influencers as inauthentic (Merlo & Eisingerich, 2026; Zhang, 2025). The decline of trust has been termed a "authenticity crisis" within the business, as brands and influencers collaborate to create content that consumers increasingly view as deceptive and manipulative (Zhang, 2025). A meta-analysis of 47 empirical studies conducted from 2018 to 2024 revealed that 63% to 78% of sponsored content fails to display explicit advertising labels, while deceptive engagement tactics, such as acquired followers and counterfeit reviews, impact approximately 15% to 49% of influencer accounts (Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, 2025). The result is an expanding disparity between customer desires for authentic, trustworthy advice and the offerings of the market.

As customers become fatigued by too refined endorsements and the monotony of celebrity collaborations, brands are progressively seeking alternatives that provide enhanced control, scalability, and risk management (Agarwal, 2026; Linqia, 2025). The allure of total brand management is

unmistakable in a media landscape where a single unscripted incident involving a human influencer can provoke a reputational disaster. Virtual influencers, driven by advanced artificial intelligence algorithms, are emerging as a contemporary development of brand mascots—embodying brand personality and values in a manner that resonates with today's digital-native audiences (Chatterjee, 2026). In contrast to conventional human ambassadors, who are bound by timetables, contracts, and the unpredictability of human behaviour, AI-generated brand representatives offer uniform messaging, perpetual engagement across all digital platforms, and the complete removal of variables (Agarwal, 2026). This transition prompts essential enquiries: can artificially constructed personas lacking authentic emotions compete with human influencers in establishing significant, trust-based connections with consumers (Alsharif et al., 2025)? The response to this inquiry will shape the course of influencer marketing for the next ten years.

Ergonomic, the Human Factor

Despite the appeal of digital perfection, human influencers have advantages that AI-generated ambassadors cannot match. The ability to draw on lived experiences, vulnerabilities, and spontaneous emotional responses that resonate on a very human level is emotional depth, the most powerful. Influencer credibility is increased when followers see genuine emotional reactions, unscripted moments, and tangible product interactions that convey sensory information like texture, taste, and scent, which an AI without biological senses can only simulate through algorithmic approximation (Schouten et al., 2020). Audrezet et al. (2020) argue that authenticity in human influencers is built through a delicate balance of "transparency" and "truthfulness," qualities that consumers evaluate based on the influencer's consistency between their public and private lives, a judgement that becomes incoherent when applied to a non-sentient entity.

Parasocial relationships, anchored in attachment and social belonging psychology, are another essential strength of influencers. One-sided connections, where followers invest emotional energy and confidence in an influencer they may never meet, increase purchase intentions, brand loyalty, and word-of-mouth advocacy more than celebrity endorsements (Sokolova & Kefi, 2020; Ki & Kim, 2019). Crucially, parasocial relationships thrive on the perceived reciprocity of emotional investment followers believe the influencer cares about them personally and this perception relies heavily on the influencer's ability to respond dynamically to audience cues, engage in real-time conversational nuance, and show genuine empathy during collective crisis or celebration. Ki and Kim (2019) found that consumers are more forgiving of human influencers' minor mistakes when there is a strong parasocial bond, suggesting that emotional connection buffers occasional mistakes, which AI ambassadors have yet to establish with mainstream audiences.

Growing liabilities force brands to hedge their bets, offsetting these strengths. Human influencers are most vulnerable to reputational risk, which can include offensive historical tweets, fraudulent financial schemes, bullying, cultural appropriation, or politically charged statements that alienate core consumer segments (Flynn et al., 2016; Breves, 2019). Research shows that up to 45% of consumers have reduced or stopped buying from a firm after an influencer crisis, with recovery times often lasting over 18 months (Flynn et al., 2016). Influencers' mental health issues exacerbated by constant online scrutiny, performative pressure, and algorithm-dependent income have led to high burnout rates, erratic content schedules, and even public breakdowns that irreparably damage brand associations (Breves et al., 2019). Operationally, human influencers charge exorbitant fees for single posts, require extensive contractual negotiation, are geographically and temporally constrained, and cannot execute multiple campaigns across time zones without compromising quality. These logistical inefficiencies and human behaviour's unpredictability have led brands to view human influencers as high-risk, high-maintenance assets whose return on investment is harder to guarantee in an era of agility and brand safety.

The Rise of the Digital Doppelgänger

AI, CGI, and deep learning have revolutionised marketing communication with virtual influencers (Laszkiewicz & Kalinska-Kula, 2023; Sands et al., 2022). Virtual influencers: "digitised entities with anthropomorphised appearances that have human bodies, social roles, and their own identity; they can play the role of human influencers by interacting with other humans, controlled either by humans or computer algorithms" (Byun & Ahn, 2023, p. 6 AI-generated avatars produce original content, connect with followers, and have cultural conversations like people (Laszkiewicz & Kalinska-Kula, 2023; Olbermann & Nagl, 2025). Hyper-realistic graphics, fluid movements, and emotionally expressive interactions are achieved using high-resolution CGI rendering, motion capture, and deep learning-based facial expression modelling (Research and Markets, 2024; GenKOL, 2025). This combination of technologies is making virtual influencers look more like humans in static images and dynamic videos.

The use of virtual influencers has skyrocketed, with 71.2% of marketing professionals believing they can outperform human influencers and 61.4% employing them (Influencer Marketing Hub, 2024, cited in Song et al Marriott International, InterContinental Hotels Group, McDonald's, Prada, Calvin Klein, and Balmain have used virtual influencers to promote brand values, products, and engage younger, digitally-native audiences (Sands et al., 2022; Adejumo, 2024 Brud's Brazilian-American digital persona Lil Miquela has millions of fans and relationships with luxury fashion brands, while Shudu Gram, "the world's first digital supermodel," has modelled for Fenty Beauty and Balmain's

"Balmain Army" of virtual ambassadors. Early adopters proved virtual influencers may impact perceptions and engage audiences beyond marketing and entertainment (StartupNation, 2023). As cultural icons, fashion collaborators, or social change campaigners. Virtual influencers are strategic because they are cost-effective, easier to manage character design and collaborative procedures, and have a timeless look with fewer PR risks and scandals than human endorsers (Sands et al., 2022; Allal-Chérif, 2024

Virtual influencers' efficacy varies, and consumer responses are contextual. Studies suggest that virtual influencers are viewed as less authentic than real influencers across product kinds, which may affect brand attitude and buy intention (Zhou et al., 2024; Li, 2023). Virtual influencers' personal opinions generated less purchase intention and brand interest than human influencers' due to diminished empathy and higher scepticism (Anonymous, 2025). Most consumers react to virtual influencers with dread, followed by amazement and affection, demonstrating that the uncanny valley phenomenon where near-human representations induce discomfort remains a fundamental barrier to general acceptance (KCI, 2025). Studies reveal that virtual influencers who look human or are directed by humans are better at communicating subjectivity (Anonymous, 2025). According to the TEARS model (trustworthiness, expertise, attractiveness, respect, similarity), virtual influencer endorsement increases brand attachment and mimetic desire, which can lead to tangible commercial results when strategically used (IEEE, 2024). The findings show that virtual influencers are sophisticated tools that require careful design, contextual relevance, and customer expectations.

The Great Trade-Off: Control vs. Connection

When adopting AI-generated brand ambassadors, brands must weigh complete control against authentic human connection. In an era of consumer scrutiny and influencer instability, businesses value creative freedom and risk mitigation from virtual influencers. Virtual influencers are constantly ready to provide content and don't encounter fatigue, schedule issues, or emotional burnout. Virtual influencers are fully managed by businesses or their agencies, reducing reputational risks from off-script behaviour, political errors, and personal scandals. Many firms reallocate marketing dollars to them. Global brands seeking consistent message across many cultural contexts without human cooperation like this mix of brand safety and operational efficiency.

Lack of consumer trust and authenticity limits virtual influencers' persuasiveness. Empirical research shows that virtual influencers are perceived as less authentic than human influencers across all product categories, affecting brand sentiment and buy intention. Virtual influencers are less credible than real influencers because they lack sensory abilities and lived experience, are more socially distant, and cannot physically recommend items. Credibility, brand attitude, and buy intention decrease serially as perceived sensory capability decreases. This path works across cultures and products. Even with advanced anthropomorphic traits and lifelike emotional expressions, virtual influencers fail to solve the "authenticity paradox": consumers want human-like social cues and emotional resonance, but punish them for being unrealistic.

Parasocial relationships the psychological ties that enable influencer effectiveness and consumer engagement show the connection deficit most. Parasocial interactions with virtual influencers are initially higher than with actual influencers, but audiences assign less mental human-likeness and similarity to them. Virtual influencers can engage followers but cannot form deep emotional bonds. According to research, human influencers get more social media interaction than virtual influencers, especially higher-tier ones. Virtual influencers can raise brand knowledge and awareness, but they cannot recreate the emotional depth and perceived reciprocity that establish customer trust and loyalty.

New research shows that virtual influencers' authenticity disadvantage can be addressed in certain situations. Strategic design choices like aesthetic faults rather than unattainable perfection may offset the negative effects of virtual endorsers on brand legitimacy. When customers closely identify with virtual influencers, perceived uniqueness can increase consumer results and reduce trustworthiness issues. If contextually matching brand stereotypes, virtual influencers' emotions, notably smiling, improve brand legitimacy and buy intention through affective and cognitive empathy. This suggests that while the control-versus-connection trade-off is real and consequential, brands that invest in smart virtual influencer design, emotional authenticity, and strategic alignment with consumer values may narrow the gap, but whether they can close it is unknown.

The Hybrid Horizon-Human + AI Co-Creation

As human and synthetic influencers' limitations become obvious, hybrid collaboration, where human and AI systems amplify each other's strengths and reduce shortcomings, is evolving. This co-creative paradigm recognises that influencer marketing's future resides in symbiosis, integrating AI's computing power, scalability, and data-processing with human creativity, emotional intelligence, and lived authenticity (Huang & Rust, 2021; Kumar et al., AI can improve human decision-making, scale content personalisation, and automate routine engagement while keeping human influencers' relationship depth (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Davenport & Ronanki, 2018). This hybrid approach develops the industry from novelty-driven experimentation to strategically integrated ecosystems where humans and robots co-evolve in mutually reinforcing roles.

One of the most promising examples of this hybrid model is the "Digital Twin", an AI-powered replica of a human influencer that autonomously extends the influencer's reach, manages routine interactions, and generates content while the human creator focuses on strategic direction and high-value creative Digital twins are taught on the influencer's content, voice patterns, aesthetic preferences, and interaction history to post, answer to comments, and execute basic brand collaborations in their authentic style (Park et al., 2023). This technology helps influencers "be in two places at once," engaging audiences across platforms and time zones without burnout or content compromise (Kim & Lee, 2022). Research reveals that customers are more responsive to this model when human influencers acknowledge their use of AI assistants, which increases authenticity by indicating efficiency and accessibility (Chan et al., 2023; Abbasi, 2024). Digital twins allow influencers to create their personal brand without losing relational capital since the AI handles transactional relationships and the human reserves intimate, high-stakes meetings for authentic emotional presence (Kim & Lee, 2022).

Hybrid campaigns outperform digital twins by using AI to build foundational content that human influencers curate, refine, and emotionally inject (Dwivedi et al., 2023; Huang & Rust, 2021). AI systems analyse massive datasets to identify trends, predict optimal posting times, and draft initial content concepts, while human influencers use their intuitive understanding of cultural nuance, audience sentiment, and authentic storytelling to turn algorithmic outputs into relatable narratives (Kumar et al., 2024). Humans offer contextual awareness, ethical judgement, and spontaneous emotional connection that computers cannot mimic (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Abbasi et al., 2024). AI excels in pattern recognition, data synthesis, and repetitive execution Hybrid ads offer higher engagement rates and better brand perceptions than human or AI-driven advertising, demonstrating consumers like the technology-human touch synergy (Chan et al., 2023). AI content curators, digital twin managers, and hybrid campaign strategists illustrate that influencer marketing's future workforce will be able to coordinate human-machine collaboration.

The Regulatory Minefield

The regulatory problem produced by social media influencers shows the inadequacies in consumer protection frameworks for human-mediated advertising (Kim, 2025; Sands et al., 2022). How must consumers be informed, by whom, and prominently when they encounter a photorealistic digital avatar endorsing a product (Kim, 2025; Revision Legal, 2024)? This subject generates various secondary concerns, including whether synthetic virtual influencers are deceptive, whether non-human endorsers may be effectively disclosed, and who is accountable when an AI-generated ambassador misleads consumers or breaches publicity rights. Undisclosed synthetic representations may provoke greater feelings of betrayal than human actors because consumers expect they are interacting with a real person who can be held accountable (Fast Company, 2025). Thus, regulators worldwide are scrambling to oversee a "multibillion-dollar industry of synthetic influencers" whose efficiency could erode public trust without transparency (Fast Company, 2025).

The US Federal Trade Commission has advocated for virtual influencers to follow human endorsement disclosure regulations (FTC, 2023; Troutman Pepper, 2023). For the first time since 2009, the FTC's revised Guides Concerning the Use of Endorsements and Testimonials in Advertising explicitly expanded "endorser" to include entities that "appear to be an individual, group, or institution" to include virtual influencers, fabricated endorsers, and non-existent entities that purport to endorse. If customers are aware of their synthetic origin and any material link to the brand being recommended, virtual influencers are not fraudulent or misleading advertising. Using a virtual influencer without "clear and conspicuous" disclosure—"difficult to miss and easily understandable by ordinary consumers" is misleading under Section 5 of the FTC Act, subject to civil and criminal penalties (Troutman Pepper, 2023; Revision Legal, 2024). Disclosures should be clearly placed in the endorsement above, beside, or inside the image or video, rather than in captions, hashtags, hyperlinked terms and conditions, or platform-built disclosure mechanisms that can be missed, according to the FTC A brand cannot rely on an influencer's website disclosures to meet its compliance obligations because the virtual influencer's developer and operator and the brand employing it have different disclosure obligations (Revision Legal, 2024).

The EU AI Act designated commercial deepfakes "limited-risk" applications in August 2024, mandating training data disclosure and traceability (Fast Company, 2025; Reality Defender, 2025). The Act's deepfake labelling requirements in August 2025 required any organization that creates, uses, or distributes AI-generated content regardless of industry or size to clearly label synthetic media as such, and Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube must implement systems to identify and label deepfakes shared across their services. According to Łaszczuk and Wspólnicy (2025), deepfakes are AI-generated or modified photos, audio, or videos that mimic genuine people, objects, places, or events, appearing realistic or truthful. Virtual influencers must disclose their artificial origin to comply with consumer protection rules (Łaszczuk & Wspólnicy, 2025). Legal scholars argue that failing to disclose the influencer's virtuality and the brand's commercial control is an unfair market practice under EU law because consumers are deceived into thinking they are talking to a human while actually talking to a corporate-controlled algorithm (Financial Law Institute, 2025). Though enforcement mechanisms and disclosure requirements vary, US and EU legislative systems increasingly agree that synthetic influencer marketing requires openness (Kim, 2025; Fast Company, 2025).

Virtual influencers' fundamental fraud independent of disclosure may be the most conceptually tough regulatory challenge (Kim, 2025; Financial Law Institute, 2025). Despite knowing they are dealing with a fake, consumers may not comprehend that virtual influencers cannot create genuine ideas, have real product experiences, or deliver honest endorsements, which are important to human influencer marketing (Kim, 2025). Because consumers may infer that a realistic digital persona has an experiential foundation for its suggestions, one legal study suggests that "the fact that virtual influencers do not have the ability to endorse should also be disclosed" beyond their virtual identity (Kim, 2025 This implies a layered disclosure model in which brands must disclose that an influencer is AI-generated, has no product experience, and is entirely fabricated a standard that, if strictly enforced, would substantially alter virtual influencer campaigns' persuasive mechanics (Kim, 2025). Research reveals that clearly stated virtual influencers are less credible than softly disclosed or real influencers, encouraging firms to hide disclosures despite legislative requirements (Disclosure & Virtual Influencers, 2025). Transparency protects consumers but reduces the commercial effectiveness of synthetic endorsers, potentially driving brands toward deceptive practises that regulators must then enforce more strictly (Disclosure & Virtual Influencers, 2025; Fast Company, 2025).

Deepfake impersonation, when AI-generated content impersonates real persons without their consent, is a regulatory minefield beyond disclosure (Fast Company, 2025; ACTEC, 2025). This activity impacts consumer protection, publicity, copyright, and the growing tort of illicit AI replication (ACTEC, 2025; Munck Wilson, 2024). Generational AI systems that can build photorealistic copies that act like legitimate performances are testing the right of publicity, which governs the commercial use of an individual's name, image, and likeness and varies greatly among US states (ACTEC, 2025). Courts are increasingly dealing with deepfakes used to impersonate people and perpetrate fraud, while legislators are discussing the Content Origin Protection and Integrity from Edited and Deepfaked Media Act of 2025, which would set federal content provenance and deepfake labelling standards. The Draft Information Technology Amendment Rules 2025 of India describe "synthetically generated information" and require social media companies to mark it. Nigeria's planned Digital Content Practitioners Bill promotes digital responsibility and traceability. Due to legal fragmentation, global corporations executing virtual influencer campaigns in several regions must follow varied deepfake definitions, disclosure rules, and enforcement priorities (Fast Company, 2025; Reality Defender, 2025). The regulatory landscape for virtual influencers is changing quickly, and brands that fail to prioritise transparency, obtain appropriate consents, and implement robust compliance mechanisms risk legal liability and, more importantly, the irreversible erosion of consumer trust that sustains influencer marketing.

The Next 5 Years, From "Who" to "What" to "When"

As influence becomes part of daily life, influencer marketing shifts from who the endorser is (a human) to what the endorser is (a synthetic, AI-generated entity) to when and where the endorsement occurs. This final transformation introduces "Ambient Influencers" AI systems that act as context-aware, omnipresent digital assistants that provide personalised recommendations through AR glasses, smart home devices, connected vehicles, and wearable technology without social media accounts. Your refrigerator offers a dish based on your nutritional goals and stock levels, your AR glasses overlay product ratings on store shelves in real time, and your virtual wardrobe adviser suggests ensembles based on your style and weather without mentioning an influencer (Pitt & Parent). The ambient model is the ultimate scalability of AI-driven influence, reducing friction between consumer intent and brand recommendation to near-zero latency and making the endorser's "authenticity" irrelevant because the consumer is interacting with a transparently functional system rather than a genuine person (Pitt & Parent,

With synthetic connections widespread, actual human vulnerability will become rarer and more desirable, making authentic human connection the ultimate luxury (Cao & Wang, 2026; Belk, 2023). Perfect, always-available AI influencers would make human influencers' flaws, emotional unpredictability, and shared life experiences more attractive for high-stakes, high-touch brand engagements (Cao & Wang, 2026 Extended self-research demonstrates that consumers value connections since they include conscious, sentient people acknowledging each other, something AI cannot do (Belk, 2023). Human influencers will command premium pricing and exclusive partnerships for lifestyle domains that require emotional resonance, such as luxury travel, high-end fashion, wellness, and transform, while AI ambassadors will dominate low-involvement, routine, and transactional recommendations like grocery shopping, utility services, and standardised consumer goods, where efficiency and convenience Since algorithms are transactional and emotional categories are aspirational, firms that use AI for highly emotional categories will experience customer backlash (Mende & Scott, 2021; Wang et al., 2026).

Brands should create a tiered ecosystem using human and AI influencers based on their skills (Hollebeek et al., 2024; Ferrell & Ferrell, 2025). Ambient AI's data-processing talents will boost client acquisition, mass-market awareness campaigns, and tailored micro-endorsements to convey the right message at the right time without draining human resources (Hollebeek et al., 2024 In second-tier hybrid marketing, human influencers will use AI tools for content optimisation and audience analysis to ensure authenticity and algorithmic efficiency (Ferrell & Ferrell, 2025). Brand narrative, community-building rituals, and high-stakes

product launches that require emotional depth and empathy will involve pure human interaction, the third and most exclusive tier (Hollebeek et al., 2024). Deceptive ambiguity erodes confidence more than synthetic endorsers, therefore consumers must know if they are talking to a human, AI, or hybrid system (Ferrell & Ferrell, 2025; Cao & Wang, 2026 Layered orchestration businesses will be known for ethical consumer trust and attentive human connection where it matters most.

A technologically rich future where strategic complementarity creates value for enterprises and customers, not a gloomy future where soulless algorithms replace human creators. The emotional intelligence and reputational capital of influencers will authenticate AI-generated content, while AI will liberate them from regular posting and algorithmic optimisation to focus on deeper storytelling and audience engagement (Verhoef & Lemon, 2022). Consumer psychology research on anthropomorphism shows that people are good at attributing human-like traits to non-human entities, but this attribution is fragile and easily disrupted when the entity fails to meet human expectations of reciprocity and emotional consistency, making human influencers irreplaceable for the The rarest and most valuable currency in a future of synthetic faces and algorithmically generated endorsements will be human vulnerability, unfiltered emotional expression, and the messy, unpredictable authenticity that a conscious being can offer (Cao & Wang, 2026; Mende & Scott, 2021). Successful brands will use AI for efficiency, scale, and personalisation while leaving their most important brand moments to human influencers who remind consumers that every product has a narrative.

Conclusion

Influencer marketing's history shows that authenticity is still the foundation of consumer trust, but its definition is changing. Human versus AI influencers is a false dichotomy. Effectiveness depends on advertising goals, product categories, and customer settings as the industry converges. AI has unrivalled scalability and risk avoidance, but its transactional nature cannot replicate effective brand storytelling's spontaneous emotional resonance. Brands that embrace this complexity and use synthetic and human influencers as complementing parts of a smart marketing orchestra will succeed.

Marketing leaders can follow four steps to negotiate this challenging landscape. First, use AI ambassadors for high-volume, low-involvement transactional recommendations, hybrid human-AI teams for creative content optimisation, and human influencers only for high-stakes emotional campaigns that require genuine lived experience. Second, stress radical openness over performative authenticity: expose AI influencers' synthetic nature and inability to have genuine product experiences to build consumer trust. Third, invest in digital twin and curatorial capabilities to allow human influencers to focus on narrative, community building, and authentic spontaneous connections by automating routine engagement and content authoring using AI. Fourth, treat ethical compliance as a brand differentiator that shows responsibility and regard for customer welfare by building robust legal frameworks that transcend current FTC and EU AI Act regulations.

In a saturated media environment, human imperfection will be the ultimate luxury. As AI fills the digital ecosystem with faultless, always-on synthetic personalities, customers will seek the messy, unpredictable, and emotionally relatable vulnerabilities only conscious individuals can supply. Influencers will become meaning curators, using their emotional intelligence to validate and humanise AI outputs. In this symbiotic future, brands that use AI for its computational strengths while preserving their most intimate narratives for human voices will be resilient to technological disruption. The question is now how to deploy AI responsibly, keeping in mind that the human connection is at the heart of trade in the pursuit of efficiency.

Recommendations

1. Brands must abandon the binary mentality of choosing between human and AI influencers and instead construct a sophisticated, tiered ecosystem where each type of endorser is deployed according to its comparative advantage. The first tier, comprising AI-generated ambassadors, should handle high-volume, low-involvement transactional recommendations, such as daily consumer goods, utility services, and standardised products where efficiency, scalability, and 24/7 availability are paramount.
2. The instinct to minimise disclosure of AI involvement in influencer campaigns is counterproductive, as consumers are more forgiving of synthetic endorsers when they feel adequately informed than when they discover deception through other means. Brands must move beyond minimal compliance with regulatory requirements and adopt a posture of radical transparency that proactively clarifies not only the synthetic nature of an AI influencer but also its fundamental inability to have genuine product experiences.
3. Rather than replacing human influencers with AI, brands should invest in digital twin technology that creates AI-powered replicas of their human ambassadors, enabling these creators to maintain continuous audience engagement without succumbing to burnout or sacrificing content quality.
4. Regulatory compliance in the virtual influencer space is evolving rapidly, and brands that treat it as a reactive, cost-centre function will find themselves perpetually behind both regulators and competitors.

Instead, organisations should proactively embed ethical AI governance as a core strategic capability that differentiates their brand in an increasingly crowded market.

References

1. Abbasi, A. Z., Tsiotsou, R. H., & Hussain, K. (2024). Artificial intelligence in influencer marketing: A systematic review and future research directions. Journal of Business Research, 175, 114554.
2. ACTEC Foundation. (2025). How artificial intelligence is redefining publicity rights. ACTEC Foundation. https://actecfoundation.org
3. Adejumo, O. (2024). The rise of AI influencers: Redefining the future of marketing and revenue generation. LinkedIn.
4. Agarwal, S. (2026). AI influencers and brand control. In From Amul Girl to AI avatars: How brand mascots are being reimagined. Exchange4media.
5. Allal-Chérif, O., Puertas, R., & Carracedo, P. (2024). Virtual influencers in brand endorsements. Journal of Business Research.
6. Alsharif, A. H., Salleh, N. Z. M., & Baharun, R. (2025). Between human and AI influencers: Parasocial relationships, credibility, and social capital formation in a collectivist market. Discover Sustainability, 6, 116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-00891-w
7. Anonymous. (2025). A double-edged sword: Exploring the effectiveness of expressed subjectivity in virtual influencer endorsements. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services.
8. Arsenyan, J., & Mirowska, A. (2021). Almost human? A comparative case study on the social media presence of virtual influencers. International Journal of Human–Computer Studies, 155, 102694.
9. Audrezet, A., de Kerviler, G., & Moulard, J. G. (2020). Authenticity under threat: When social media influencers need to go beyond self-presentation. Journal of Business Research, 117, 557–569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.07.008
10. BAVCCA. (2025). BAVCCA to present Digital content practitioners bill to NASS. Daily Trust. https://dailytrust.com
11. Belk, R. W. (2023). Extended self in a digital world. Journal of Consumer Research, 50(1), 1–20.
12. Breves, P. L., Liebers, N., Abt, M., & Kunze, A. (2019). The perceived fit between Instagram influencers and endorsed brands: How influencer–brand fit affects source credibility and persuasion. Journal of Advertising Research, 59(4), 440–454. https://doi.org/10.2501/JAR-2019-030
13. Byun, J., & Ahn, J. (2023). Virtual influencers and parasocial relationships. Journal of Business Research.
14. Cao, L., & Wang, Z. (2026). Authenticity in synthetic media: Ethical implications for brand endorsements. Journal of Business Ethics, 182(3), 567–584.
15. Chan, T. J., Chen, L., & Li, S. (2023). Consumer perceptions of human-AI hybrid influencers: The role of disclosure and perceived synergy. Computers in Human Behavior, 145, 107765.
16. Chatterjee, P. (2026). Virtual influencers as modern brand mascots. In From Amul Girl to AI avatars: How brand mascots are being reimagined. Exchange4media.
17. Childers, C. C., Lemon, L. L., & Hoy, M. G. (2019). #Sponsored #Ad: Agency perspective on influencer marketing campaigns. Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising, 40(3), 258–274. https://doi.org/10.1080/10641734.2018.1521113
18. Daily Trust. (2025). BAVCCA to present Digital content practitioners bill to NASS. Daily Trust.
19. Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. Harvard Business Review, 96(1), 108–116.
20. Disclosure and Virtual Influencers. (2025). Disclosing the virtual nature of virtual influencers. DARE (University of Amsterdam). https://dare.uva.nl
21. Dream Farm Agency. (2026). Top 9 AI influencer campaign examples and what made them work.
22. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., ... & Williams, M. D. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) and the future of marketing: A research agenda. International Journal of Information Management, 68, 102551.
23. Fast Company. (2025). Synthetic influencers and deepfake spokespeople. Fast Company. https://www.fastcompany.com
24. Federal Trade Commission [FTC]. (2023).

Guides concerning the use of endorsements and testimonials in advertising (16 C.F.R. Part 255). https://www.ftc.gov

25. Ferrell, O. C., & Ferrell, L. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in marketing ethics: A framework for responsible innovation. Journal of Public Policy & Marketing, 44(1), 12–28.

26. Financial Law Institute. (2025). Virtual reality, real responsibility: The regulatory landscape for virtual influencers. Financial Law Institute, Ghent University. https://financiallawinstitute.ugent.be

27. Flynn, L. R., Goldsmith, R. E., & Pollitte, W. (2016). How the controversial nature of social media influencers affects consumer trust. Journal of Marketing Communications, 22(6), 645–663. https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2016.1217890

28. Franke, C., Groeppel-Klein, A., & Müller, K. (2022). Virtual influencers as brand endorsers: The role of perceived authenticity. Journal of Advertising Research.

29. GenKOL. (2025). GenKOL: Modular generative AI framework for scalable virtual KOL generation. arXiv.

30. Geyser, W. (2023). The state of influencer marketing 2023. Influencer Marketing Hub.

31. Geyser, W. (2024). The state of influencer marketing 2024. Influencer Marketing Hub.

32. GovInfo. (2025). Content Origin Protection and Integrity from Edited and Deepfaked Media Act of 2025. GovInfo.

33. Grewal, D., Noble, S. M., Roggeveen, A. L., & Nordfält, J. (2020). The future of in-store technology. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48(1), 96–113.

34. Hollebeek, L. D., Kumar, V., & Srivastava, R. K. (2024). AI-enabled customer engagement: A hierarchical framework and research agenda. International Journal of Research in Marketing, 41(2), 215–234.

35. Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). A strategic framework for artificial intelligence in marketing. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 49(1), 30–50.

36. IEEE. (2024). From pixels to purchases: The role of computer-generated imagery and virtual influencers in digital marketing. IEEE Xplore.

37. Influencer Marketing Hub. (2024). AI Marketing Benchmark Report 2023.

38. Jiang, K., Qin, M., Deng, D., & Zhou, D. (2025). Smile or not smile: The effect of virtual influencers' emotional expression on brand authenticity, purchase intention and follow intention. Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 24(4), 962–981.

39. KCI. (2025). Beyond visual realism: Understanding the role of verbal cues in generating consumer affinity vs. fear toward virtual influencers. Korean Citation Index.

40. Khaitan & Co. (2025). Draft Information Technology Amendment Rules, 2025. Khaitan & Co. https://www.khaitanco.com

41. Ki, C. W. C., & Kim, Y. K. (2019). The mechanism by which social media influencers persuade consumers: The role of consumers' parasocial relationships and source credibility. International Journal of Advertising, 38(6), 905–927. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1574409

42. Kim, J., & Lee, S. (2022). Digital twins in influencer marketing: Extending human brands through AI replication. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 58(2), 156–172.

43. Kim, Y. (2025). Regarding how to regulate virtual influencers: Focused on the debates in the United States and its implications. Korean Citation Index. https://kci.go.kr

44. Koles, B., Audrezet, A., Ameen, N., Mckenna, B., & Moulard, J. G. (2024). The negative effect of virtual endorsers on brand authenticity and potential remedies. Journal of Business Research, 185, 114852.

45. Kumar, V., Ashraf, A. R., & Nadeem, W. (2024). AI-human collaboration in content creation: Implications for influencer effectiveness. European Journal of Marketing, 58(6), 1425–1451.

46. Łaszczuk & Wspólnicy. (2025). Legal challenges of deepfakes in advertising: Key takeaways from the Adlaw conference in Warsaw. Łaszczuk i Wspólnicy. https://laszczuk.pl

47. Laszkiewicz, A., & Kalinska-Kula, M. (2023). Virtual influencers as an emerging marketing theory: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 47(6), 2479–2494.

48. Li, Y., et al. (2023). Virtual influencer authenticity and consumer trust. Journal of Advertising.

49. Li, Y., Wang, X., & Jiang, Z. (2023). Virtual

influencers and sensory cue salience. Journal of Advertising.

50. Linqia. (2025). 2026 State of Influencer Marketing Report. Linqia.

51. Looi, J., & Kahlor, L. A. (2024). Artificial intelligence in influencer marketing: A mixed-method comparison of human and virtual influencers on Instagram. Journal of Interactive Advertising, 24(2), 127–145.

52. Lou, C., & Kim, H. K. (2022). Virtual influencers and consumer engagement. Journal of Marketing Communications.

53. Lou, C., & Yuan, S. (2019). Influencer marketing: How message value and credibility affect consumer trust of branded content on social media. Journal of Interactive Advertising, 19(1), 58–73. https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501

54. Mende, M., & Scott, M. L. (2021). Maya's dilemma: The dark side of artificial intelligence in service interactions. Journal of Service Research, 24(1), 17–34.

55. Merlo, O., & Eisingerich, A. B. (2026). Does influencer marketing really work in 2026? Imperial Business School. https://www.imperial.ac.uk/business-school/ib-knowledge/marketing/does-influencer-marketing-really-work-2026

56. Miao, F., Kozlenkova, I. V., Wang, H., Xie, T., & Palmatier, R. W. (2022). An emerging theory of avatar marketing. Journal of Marketing, 86(1), 67–88.

57. Moustakas, E., Lamba, N., Mahmoud, D., & Ranganathan, C. (2020). Blurring lines between fiction and reality: Perspectives of experts on marketing effectiveness of virtual influencers. 2020 International Conference on Cyber Security and Protection of Digital Services.

58. Munck Wilson. (2024). Artificial intelligence presents challenges to trademark, privacy, and copyright laws. Munck Wilson Mandala. https://www.munckwilson.com

59. Olbermann, Z., & Nagl, M. (2025). Reputation through artificial intelligence? On the effectiveness of virtual influencers as spokespersons in corporate crisis communication. Frontiers in Communication, 10, 1509682.

60. Park, J., Kim, H., & Choi, S. (2023). The digital twin influencer: Consumer acceptance and antecedents of adoption. Telematics and Informatics, 78, 101957.

61. Perkins, A., & Forehand, M. (2016). The dual nature of anthropomorphism: A psychological framework. Journal of Consumer Psychology, 26(3), 440–449.

62. Pitt, L. F., & Parent, M. (2022). Virtual beings and brand strategy: Opportunities and challenges. Business Horizons, 65(4), 451–460.

63. Reality Defender. (2025). EU AI Act: Deepfake rules impact all industries in 2025. Reality Defender. https://www.realitydefender.com

64. Research and Markets. (2024). Virtual influencers market - Global strategic business report.

65. Revision Legal. (2024). Using a virtual influencer? FTC compliance guide. Revision Legal. https://revisionlegal.com

66. Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Demsar, V., & Chandler, G. (2022). Virtual influencers: An emerging marketing phenomenon. Journal of Advertising Research.

67. Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Demsar, V., & Chandler, G. (2022). Virtual influencers: An emerging marketing phenomenon. Journal of Advertising Research, 62(3), 233–249.

68. Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Demsar, V., & Chandler, G. (2022). Virtual influencers: An emerging marketing phenomenon. Journal of Advertising Research, 62(3), 233–249.

69. Schouten, A. P., Janssen, L., & Verspaget, M. (2020). Celebrity vs. influencer endorsements in advertising: The role of identification, credibility, and product-endorser fit. International Journal of Advertising, 39(2), 258–281. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2019.1634898

70. Sociallyin. (2025). Influencer marketing statistics report. Sociallyin.

71. Sokolova, K., & Kefi, H. (2020). Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 53, 101742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011

72. Song, Y., et al. (2024). Interactive or not? Enhancing the interactive effectiveness of virtual brand ambassadors on consumer behavior. Journal of Interactive Marketing.

73. StartupNation. (2023). Virtual influencers: The next frontier of influencer marketing.

74. Thomas, V. L., & Fowler, K. (2021). Close

encounters of the AI kind: Use of AI influencers as brand endorsers. Journal of Advertising, 50(1), 11–25.

75. Troutman Pepper. (2023). New FTC endorsement guides: What advertisers and influencers need to know. Locke Lord QuickStudy. https://www.troutman.com

76. Verhoef, P. C., & Lemon, K. N. (2022). Successful customer value management: A framework for the future. Journal of Marketing, 86(1), 1–15.

77. Wan, Y., & Jiang, S. (2023). Warmth and trustworthiness perceptions of virtual influencers. Journal of Consumer Psychology.

78. Wang, Y., Liu, H., & Chen, Y. (2026). Ambient intelligence and the future of marketing: The shift to contextual influence. Journal of Marketing, 90(3), 45–62.

79. Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University. (2025). The authenticity crisis in influencer marketing: Advertising disclosure, fraudulent engagement, and consumer trust erosion. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/records/17394986

80. Zhang, Y. (2025). Authenticity in influencer marketing: How can influencers and brands work together to build and maintain influencer authenticity? Journal of Marketing, 89(5), 21–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429251319786

81. Zhou, X., et al. (2024). Virtual influencer authenticity and brand attitude. Journal of Consumer Behaviour.