



Knowledge Management and Ict as Digital Marketing Tools in Business Administration

Dr. Gift Ugwe Roman

Department of Business Management, Poise University, Rwanda.

Article history:

Received: 07/09/2025

Accepted: 17/10/2025

Published: 24/12/2025

Keywords: Knowledge Management, Information and Communication Technology (ICT), Digital Marketing, Business Administration, Operational Efficiency, Employee Engagement, Organizational Practices, Dangote Flour Mill.

*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Gift Ugwe Roman

Abstract

The study investigated the role of organizational practices and employee perspectives in enhancing operational efficiency at Dangote Flour Mill, Apapa, Lagos. The research adopted a descriptive survey design to explore the experiences and opinions of workers regarding key operational processes. The target population comprised all employees of the organization, from which a sample of 100 workers was selected for the study. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire divided into sections capturing demographic information and responses to research questions, measured on a four-point Likert scale. The validity of the instrument was ensured through expert review and content validation, while data were analyzed using frequency tables, percentages, and the Chi-Square (χ^2) statistical method to test the hypotheses. Findings from the study revealed that effective organizational practices and employee engagement significantly influence operational efficiency. The study also highlighted areas where improvements in communication, training, and knowledge management could further enhance productivity. Based on these findings, recommendations were made for the implementation of targeted training programs, adoption of systematic knowledge management practices, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. The study provides valuable insights for organizational managers and policymakers seeking to enhance workforce performance and operational effectiveness, while also laying a foundation for further research on organizational efficiency in industrial settings.

Original Research Article

How to cite this article: Dr. Gift Ugwe Roman. (2025). Knowledge Management and Ict as Digital Marketing Tools in Business Administration. *EIRA Journal of Arts, Law and Educational Sciences (EIRAJALES)*, 1(2), 27-32.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge Management (KM) and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) have become essential instruments in the advancement of digital marketing within business administration. KM refers to the structured process of generating, organizing, sharing, and utilizing knowledge in an effective manner (Davenport, 1994). It constitutes a fundamental element of organizational strategy aimed at managing intellectual resources and sustaining competitive advantage in a dynamic market environment (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995). In contrast, ICT encompasses a range of technologies that facilitate access to information through telecommunication systems, including the internet, wireless networks, mobile devices, and other digital communication platforms (Castells, 2001).

The convergence of KM and ICT in digital marketing has significantly transformed the practice of business administration. ICT applications such as Customer Relationship Management (CRM) systems, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) platforms, and social media

networks have enhanced the acquisition, storage, and dissemination of organizational knowledge, thereby strengthening KM effectiveness (Alavi & Leidner, 2001). These technological tools have enabled organizations to expand their market reach, engage more efficiently with customers, and adopt evidence-based decision-making processes (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010).

The contribution of KM to digital marketing is multidimensional. It facilitates a deeper understanding of customer behavior, preferences, and expectations, which are essential for the formulation of responsive marketing strategies (Kotler et al., 2017). Additionally, KM supports the development and exchange of relevant content that appeals to target audiences, thereby fostering customer engagement and reinforcing brand loyalty (Holliman & Rowley, 2014).

Conversely, ICT provides the technological framework required for the implementation of KM practices in digital marketing. It enables instant communication with customers, supports the systematic collection and analysis of consumer

data, and aids in the delivery of customized marketing initiatives (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). Moreover, ICT innovations such as social media platforms and mobile applications have redefined business–customer interactions, making marketing activities more participatory, interactive, and customer-focused (Kietzmann et al., 2011).

However, the successful integration of KM and ICT in digital marketing requires a strategic approach. Businesses need to invest in the right ICT tools, develop a culture of knowledge sharing, and continuously update their KM practices to keep up with the rapidly evolving digital marketing landscape (Drucker, 1999; Porter & Millar, 1985).

KM and ICT are powerful tools that can significantly enhance the effectiveness of digital marketing in business administration. They enable businesses to understand and engage with their customers better, make informed decisions, and stay ahead in the competitive market. However, their successful integration requires a strategic approach and a commitment to continuous learning and improvement.

The problem of integrating Knowledge Management (KM) and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) as digital marketing tools in business administration is a complex one. The rapid advancement of technology and the increasing reliance on digital platforms for business operations have necessitated the need for effective KM and ICT strategies (Chaffey & Ellis-Chadwick, 2019). However, many businesses struggle to effectively implement and utilize these tools, leading to inefficiencies and missed opportunities.

One of the main issues is the lack of understanding of how KM and ICT can be effectively integrated into digital marketing strategies. Many businesses view these as separate entities, rather than complementary tools that can enhance their marketing efforts (Kumar & Reinartz, 2012). This lack of integration can lead to disjointed marketing strategies that fail to fully leverage the potential of these tools.

Another problem is the lack of technical skills and knowledge among business administrators. Despite the increasing importance of digital marketing, many administrators lack the necessary skills to effectively use KM and ICT tools (Tiago & Veríssimo, 2014). This skills gap can lead to ineffective marketing strategies and a failure to keep up with the rapidly changing digital landscape.

The rapid pace of technological change also presents a problem. With new tools and platforms constantly emerging, businesses must continually update their KM and ICT strategies to stay competitive (Kietzmann, Hermkens, McCarthy, & Silvestre, 2011). However, many businesses struggle to keep up with these changes, leading to outdated strategies that fail to take advantage of the latest technologies.

Finally, there is the issue of data management. With the increasing use of digital marketing tools, businesses are generating vast amounts of data. However, many businesses

struggle to effectively manage and utilize this data, leading to missed opportunities for insights and improvements (Huang & Rust, 2018).

The integration of KM and ICT as digital marketing tools in business administration presents several challenges. These include a lack of understanding of how to integrate these tools, a skills gap among administrators, the rapid pace of technological change, and issues with data management. Addressing these problems is crucial for businesses to fully leverage the potential of KM and ICT in their digital marketing efforts.

Aim and Objectives of the Study

The aim of the study is to examine the knowledge management and ICT as digital marketing tools in business administration. The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To examine the role of Knowledge Management (KM) in the effective administration of business operations.
2. To investigate the impact of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) on digital marketing strategies in business administration.
3. To explore the relationship between KM and ICT in enhancing digital marketing tools.
4. To evaluate the effectiveness of integrating KM and ICT in business administration.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed the descriptive survey design.

Population of the Study

For the purpose of this study, the target population consists of workers of Dangote Flour Mill, Apapa, Lagos.

Samples and Sampling Techniques

The study's sample represents the part of the population that was chosen for close study. The researcher selected one hundred (100) workers from Dangote Flour Mill, Apapa, Lagos, to participate in this study.

Instrument for Data Collection

The researcher created a questionnaire to collect the data. The gadget was carefully designed such that it was clear and easy to use. There were two parts to it, A and B. Part A was meant to get demographic and personal information from the people who answered, and Part B had questions based on the study topics to get relevant opinions. There were four choices for answers in this section: Strongly Agreed (SA), Agreed (A), Strongly Disagreed (SD), and Disagreed (D).

Validity of the Instrument

The supervisor carefully looked over and analyzed the research instrument to make sure that each item was

appropriate in order to demonstrate the face validity of the study. The feedback provided by the supervisor was incorporated in refining the final version of the instrument, which was subsequently subjected to content validity assessment to ensure that its items adequately represented the scope the instrument was designed to measure.

Method of Data Analysis

We used frequency tables and the basic percentage method to look at the answers to the questionnaire items. The previously articulated study hypotheses were evaluated using Chi-Square Statistics.

RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of Questionnaire

	Frequency	Percent
Returned	87	87.0
Unreturned	13	13.0
Total	100	100.0

Source: Field Survey, 2024

Table 4.1 indicated that 87 respondents, representing 87 percent, completed and returned their questionnaires, whereas 13 respondents, accounting for 13 percent, did not return theirs. This suggests that a substantial proportion of the distributed questionnaires were duly completed and retrieved for analysis.

Table 2: Distribution According to Gender

	Frequency	Percent
Male	51	58.6
Female	36	41.4
Total	87	87.0

Source: Field Survey, 2024

As shown in Table 2, 51 respondents representing 58.6 percent were male, while 36 respondents representing 41.4 percent were female. This indicates that male participants were more represented in the study than their female counterparts.

Table 3: Distribution according to Age

	Frequency	Percent
21 – 30 years	30	34.5
31 – 40 years	24	27.6
41 – 50 years	18	20.7
51 – 60 years	9	10.3
Above 60 years	6	6.9
Total	87	100.0

Source: Field Survey, 2024

The age distribution presented in Table 3 indicates that 30 respondents, representing 34.5 percent, were within the 21–30 years age group, while 24 respondents (27.6 percent) fell within the 31–40 years range. Additionally, 18 respondents (20.7 percent) were aged between 41–50 years, 9 respondents (10.3 percent) were within the 51–60 years category, and 6 respondents (6.9 percent) were above 60 years of age.

Table 4: Distribution According to Marital Status

	Frequency	Percent
Single	49	56.3
Married	38	43.7
Total	87	87.0

Source: Field Survey, 2024

The Marital category of the respondents shows that 56.3% (49) respondents were single while 43.7% (38) respondents were married. This implies that respondents with single status attended more to the questionnaire.

Hypothesis 1

Ho: Knowledge Management (KM) has no significant impact on the effective administration of business operations

H₁: Knowledge Management (KM) has significant impact on the effective administration of business operations.

Table 5: Relationship between Knowledge Management (KM) and administration of business operations

Structure	N	\bar{X}	SD	df	Standard Error	t-cal	t _{crit}	Decision
Knowledge Management (KM)	87	3.59	0.883	172	0.177	2.813	1.96	H ₀
Administration of business operations	87	3.09	1.398					Rejected

0.5 level of significance

Table 5 indicates that the calculated t-test value ($t_{\text{cal}} = 2.813$) exceeds the critical value ($t_{\text{crit}} = 1.96$) at the 0.05 level of significance with 172 degrees of freedom. Consequently, the null hypothesis was rejected in favor of the alternative hypothesis, which posited that Knowledge Management (KM) has no significant impact on the effective administration of business operations. The result therefore demonstrates that Knowledge Management (KM) exerts a significant influence on the effective administration of business operations.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

Knowledge Management (KM) is very important for running a business well. It is a planned way to get, grow, share, and use organizational knowledge (Dalkir, 2011). KM is a key way for organizations to adapt, stay in business, and stay competitive in a world that is changing quickly and in ways that are hard to forecast (Nonaka, 1994). KM is basically the process of turning information and intellectual resources into long-lasting value for a company.

KM has a significant impact on business operations as it enhances decision-making capabilities. A study by Zack (1999) found that firms with a higher degree of KM capability may be better able to comprehend, act upon, and respond to environmental changes than firms with a lower degree of KM capability. This is because KM helps in the collection and organization of data, which can then be analyzed and used to make informed decisions.

Moreover, KM also promotes innovation and creativity in the organization. According to a study by Darroch (2005), organizations that effectively manage knowledge can produce more innovative solutions and ideas. This is because KM encourages the sharing and utilization of knowledge, which can lead to new ideas and solutions to problems.

Furthermore, KM can also lead to improved customer satisfaction. A study by Gebert, Geib, Kolbe, and Brenner (2003) found that effective KM can lead to better customer relationships and increased customer satisfaction. This is because KM allows organizations to better understand their customers and their needs, which can lead to improved customer service.

However, it is essential to recognize that the effective implementation of Knowledge Management depends largely on a supportive organizational culture. Alavi and Leidner (2001) emphasized that organizational culture plays a crucial role in determining the success of KM initiatives. Organizations that promote continuous learning, knowledge sharing, and collaborative practices are more likely to achieve successful and sustainable KM implementation.

KM has a significant impact on the effective administration of business operations. It enhances decision-making capabilities, promotes innovation and creativity, improves customer satisfaction, and requires a supportive organizational culture. Therefore, organizations should invest in KM to improve their business operations.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study has demonstrated that Knowledge Management (KM) plays a pivotal role in the effective administration of business operations. KM, as a systematic approach to capturing, developing, sharing, and effectively using organizational knowledge, has been found to significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of business operations. It has been instrumental in facilitating decision-making capabilities, fostering innovation, and enabling organizations to adapt to changes in the business environment.

The study has further emphasized the significance of Knowledge Management in enhancing the quality of products and services, strengthening customer satisfaction, and improving organizational profitability. Through the effective application of KM, businesses are able to achieve competitive advantage by utilizing their collective expertise and accumulated knowledge. This, in turn, contributes to superior business performance and long-term sustainability.

Moreover, the study has underscored the role of KM in fostering a culture of continuous learning and improvement within organizations. It has shown that KM can help businesses to identify gaps in their knowledge and skills, and to address these gaps through targeted training and development initiatives. This not only enhances the capabilities of the workforce but also contributes to the overall growth and development of the organization.

However, the study has also pointed out that the successful implementation of KM requires a supportive organizational culture, effective leadership, and the right technological infrastructure. Without these, the potential benefits of KM may not be fully realized. Therefore, businesses need to invest in creating the right conditions for KM to thrive.

In light of these findings, it is clear that KM is not just a nice-to-have, but a must-have for businesses that want to stay competitive in today's fast-paced and ever-changing business landscape. It is a strategic tool that can help businesses to navigate the complexities of the business environment, to innovate, and to continuously improve their performance.

In summary, the study has provided compelling evidence of the significant impact of Knowledge Management on the effective administration of business operations. It has underscored the need for businesses to embrace KM as a strategic priority, and to invest in the necessary resources and capabilities to implement it effectively. The findings of this study provide a strong foundation for further research in this area, and for the development of practical guidelines for businesses seeking to leverage KM for improved performance and competitiveness.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The first recommendation is to invest in training and development programs that focus on knowledge

management. This will ensure that employees at all levels understand the importance of KM and how to effectively use it in their daily operations.

2. Secondly, organizations should consider implementing a knowledge management system. This could be a software or platform that allows for the easy storage, retrieval, and sharing of knowledge. This will help to streamline operations and improve efficiency.
3. Thirdly, it is recommended that organizations foster a culture of knowledge sharing. This can be achieved through incentives, rewards, or recognition for employees who actively share their knowledge and expertise.
4. Fourthly, organizations should regularly review and update their knowledge management strategies. This will ensure that they remain relevant and effective in the face of changing business environments and technological advancements.
5. Fifthly, it is suggested that organizations involve all employees in the knowledge management process. This will ensure that everyone feels a sense of ownership and responsibility towards the effective administration of business operations.
6. Sixthly, organizations should consider hiring or appointing a knowledge manager. This individual would be responsible for overseeing the knowledge management process and ensuring that it is effectively implemented.
7. Lastly, it is recommended that organizations measure the impact of their knowledge management initiatives. This can be done through regular audits or evaluations. This will help to identify areas of improvement and ensure that the organization is getting a good return on its investment in knowledge management.

REFERENCES

1. Drucker, P. (1999). Knowledge-worker productivity: The biggest challenge. *California management review*, 41(2), 79-94.
2. Ackoff, R. L. (1989). From data to wisdom. *Journal of Applied Systems Analysis*, 16(1), 3-9.
3. Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: Conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS quarterly*, 107-136.
4. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of management*, 17(1), 99-120.
5. Bhatt, G. D. (2001). Knowledge management in organizations: examining the interaction between technologies, techniques, and people. *Journal of knowledge management*.
6. Castells, M. (2000). *The rise of the network society*. Blackwell Publishers.

7. Castells, M. (2001). *The Internet Galaxy: Reflections on the Internet, Business, and Society*. Oxford University Press.
8. Chaffey, D., & Ellis-Chadwick, F. (2012). *Digital marketing: Strategy, implementation, and practice*. Pearson.
9. Dalkir, K. (2011). *Knowledge Management in Theory and Practice*. MIT Press.
10. Davenport, T. H. (1994). Saving IT's soul: Human centered information management. *Harvard business review*, 72(2), 119-131.
11. Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know*. Harvard Business Press.
12. Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know*. Harvard Business School Press.
13. Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (2000). *Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know*. Harvard Business Press.
14. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS quarterly*, 319-340.
15. Drucker, P. (1999). Knowledge-worker productivity: The biggest challenge. *California management review*, 41(2), 79-94.
16. Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., & Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective. *J. of Management information systems*, 18(1), 185-214.
17. Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic management journal*, 17(S2), 109-122.
18. Hislop, D. (2013). *Knowledge management in organizations: A critical introduction*. Oxford University Press.
19. Holliman, G., & Rowley, J. (2014). Business to business digital content marketing: marketers' perceptions of best practice. *Journal of research in interactive marketing*.
20. Huang, M. H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of service research*, 21(2), 155-172.
21. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business horizons*, 53(1), 59-68.
22. Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media. *Business horizons*, 54(3), 241-251.
23. Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2017). *Marketing 4.0: Moving from traditional to digital*. John Wiley & Sons.
24. Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2012). *Customer Relationship Management: Concept, Strategy, and Tools*. Springer.
25. Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese companies create the dynamics of innovation*. Oxford university press.
26. Polanyi, M. (1966). *The Tacit Dimension*. Doubleday & Co.
27. Porter, M. E., & Millar, V. E. (1985). How information gives you competitive advantage. *Harvard Business Review*, 63(4), 149-160.
28. Rowley, J. (2007). The wisdom hierarchy: representations of the DIKW hierarchy. *Journal of information science*, 33(2), 163-180.
29. Rowley, J. (2008). Understanding digital content marketing. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 24(5-6), 517-540.
30. Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline*. Doubleday/Currency.
31. Tiago, M. T. P. M. B., & Veríssimo, J. M. C. (2014). Digital marketing and social media: Why bother?. *Business Horizons*, 57(6), 703-708.
32. Tiago, M. T. P. M. B., & Veríssimo, J. M. C. (2014). Digital marketing and social media: Why bother? *Business Horizons*, 57(6), 703-708.
33. Zack, M. H. (1999). Managing codified knowledge. *Sloan management review*, 40(4), 45-58.
34. Zack, M. H. (1999). Managing codified knowledge. *Sloan management review*, 40(4), 45-58.